New Journal of Physics

The open access journal at the forefront of physics

Deutsche Physikalische Gesellschaft DPG IOP Institute of Physics

Published in partnership with: Deutsche Physikalische Gesellschaft and the Institute of Physics

CrossMark

OPEN ACCESS

- RECEIVED 23 August 2016
- REVISED
- 20 December 2016
- ACCEPTED FOR PUBLICATION 23 December 2016

PUBLISHED 12 January 2017

Original content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence.

Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI.



Lifshitz transition mediated electronic transport anomaly in bulk \mbox{ZrTe}_5

Hang Chi^{1,7}, Cheng Zhang^{1,2,7}, Genda Gu¹, Dmitri E Kharzeev^{3,4}, Xi Dai^{5,6} and Qiang Li^{1,8}

- ¹ Condensed Matter Physics and Materials Science Department, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY 11973, USA
- Materials Science and Engineering Department, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, NY 11794, USA
- ³ Department of Physics, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY 11973, USA
- ⁴ Department of Physics and Astronomy, Stony Brook University, NY11794, USA
- ⁵ Beijing National Laboratory for Condensed Matter Physics, Institute of Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, People's Republic of China
- ⁵ Collaborative Innovation Center of Quantum Matter, Beijing 100871, People's Republic of China
- ⁷ These authors contributed equally to this work.
- ⁸ Author to whom any correspondence should be addressed,

E-mail: qiangli@bnl.gov

Keywords: Dirac semimetal, chiral magnetic effect, ZrTe₅, Lifshitz transition

Supplementary material for this article is available online

Abstract

Zirconium pentatelluride ZrTe₅, a fascinating topological material platform, hosts exotic chiral fermions in its highly anisotropic three-dimensional Dirac band and holds great promise advancing the next-generation information technology. However, the origin underlying its anomalous resistivity peak has been under debate for decades. Here we provide transport evidence substantiating the anomaly to be a direct manifestation of a Lifshitz transition in the Dirac band with an ultrahigh carrier mobility exceeding 3×10^5 cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹. We demonstrate that the Lifshitz transition is readily controllable by means of carrier doping, which sets the anomaly peak temperature T_p . T_p is found to scale approximately as $n_{\rm H}^{0.27}$, where the Hall carrier concentration $n_{\rm H}$ is linked with the Fermi level by $\varepsilon_{\rm F} \propto n_{\rm H}^{1/3}$ in a linearly dispersed Dirac band. This relation indicates T_p monotonically increases with $\varepsilon_{\rm F}$, which serves as an effective knob for fine tuning transport properties in pentatelluride-based Dirac semimetals.

1. Introduction

Three-dimensional (3D) Dirac semimetals (DSMs) [1-11] and Weyl semimetals (WSMs) [12-16] have recently attracted tremendous attention, enabling investigations of quantum dynamics of relativistic field theory in condensed matter experiments. The relativistic theory of charged chiral fermions (massless spin 1/2 particles with a definite projection of spin on momentum) in 3D possesses the chiral anomaly—non-conservation of chiral charge induced by external gauge fields with nontrivial topology, e.g., by parallel electric and magnetic fields (**E** || **B**). The chiral quasiparticles in DSMs and WSMs have opened unprecedented opportunities to study the effects of the chiral anomaly. Of particular importance is the chiral magnetic field, see figure 1 [17–23]. The signature of the CME in Dirac systems with **E** || **B** is a positive contribution to the electrical conductivity that has a quadratic magnetic field dependence. This is because the CME current is proportional to the product of the chirality imbalance and the magnetic field, where the chirality imbalance in Dirac systems is dynamically generated through the quantum anomaly with a rate that is proportional to the scalar product of electric and magnetic fields. The longitudinal magnetoresistance (LMR) thus becomes negative [9, 24, 25]. These extraordinary relativistic quasiparticles bode well for both fundamental exploration and practical applications [26–29].

The observation of CME in $ZrTe_5$ [9] kicked off intensive transport studies in DSM (such as Na₃Bi [24], Cd₃As₂ [25], $ZrTe_5$ [11, 30–37] and HfTe₅ [38–41]) and WSM (e.g., TaAs [42], NbAs [43], NbP [44], and TaP

PAPER







(dashed-line triangle) chains and the ZrTe5 sheets.

[45]) materials. The readily accessible quantum limit in $ZrTe_5$ (only several Tesla [10, 37], instead of above 40 T for Cd_3As_2 [46]), along with its chemical stability, advocates unique strategic advantages of exploring $ZrTe_5$ -based materials as a unique topological platform [8, 47]. $ZrTe_5$ crystallizes in a layered orthorhombic $Cmcm(D_{2h}^{17}, No. 63)$ structure, as shown in the inset of figure 2(a). Density functional theoretical (DFT) results [8, 11, 37] have shown that the electronic structure of $ZrTe_5$ is sensitive to external parameters such as pressure, temperature, and stress field introduced by chemical dopant. For example, movement of the chemical potential $\mu(T)$ in $ZrTe_5$ upon varying temperature T has been detected in several recent angle-resolved photoemission

spectroscopy (ARPES) experiments [48–52]. Note the exact temperature dependence of $\mu(T)$, i.e., shifting up [49, 50] or down [48, 51] when *T* increases, is still much under debate. Detailed transport studies, especially on bulk samples with intrinsically low background carrier concentrations, are very desirable. Such transport experiments may provide insights towards understanding the Lifshitz transition and the Van Hove singularity as observed in previous ARPES [50, 51] and optical studies [53].

2. Experimental

Single crystalline ZrTe₅ samples were prepared via a Te-flux method. High purity Zr and Te elemental mixture $Zr_{0.0025}Te_{0.9975}$ were sealed under vacuum in a double-walled quartz ampule and first melted at 900 °C in a box furnace and fully rocked to achieve homogeneity for 72 h. The melt was followed by slow cooling and rapid heating treatment between 445 °C and 505 °C for 21 days, in order to re-melt crystals with small sizes. The resultant single crystals were typically about $0.1 \times 0.3 \times 20 \text{ mm}^3$. Crystals were chemically and structurally analyzed by powder x-ray diffraction, scanning electron microscopy with energy dispersive x-ray spectroscopy, and transmission electron microscopy, as described in [9].

The field dependent resistivity tensor ρ_{xx} and ρ_{yx} of ZrTe₅, in the temperature range of 5–300 K, were measured using the Hall-bar configurations in a quantum design physical property measurement system (PPMS) equipped with a 9 T superconducting magnet. The zero-field Seebeck coefficient was measured via the standard four-probe method in the thermal transport option in PPMS. Measurements were performed with the electric current and/or thermal gradient along the crystallographic *a*-axis.

3. Results and discussion

As shown in figure 2(a), the electrical resistivity ρ_{xx} in our bulk single crystal ZrTe₅ is about 0.8 m Ω cm at 5 K, and displays a metallic temperature dependence dominated by electrons, as evidenced by the negative Hall coefficient $R_{\rm H}$ (figure 2(b), obtained from the zero-field *B*-derivative of the Hall resistivity ρ_{yx} in figure 3) and Seebeck coefficient *S* (figure 2(c)). The derived Hall carrier concentration $n_{\rm H} (\equiv 1/(eR_{\rm H}),$ negative (positive) for electrons (holes), with *e* being the elementary charge) = -2.6×10^{16} cm⁻³ is among the lowest ever achieved in ZrTe₅ reported so far (supplementary table 1), leading to a much lowered Fermi level $\varepsilon_{\rm F}$ [9]. This is likely due to the fact that our single crystals grown in self flux are free from contamination of foreign species such as the iodine transport agent in other vapor transport crystal growth method, where iodine may act as electron dopants in ZrTe₅. Upon increasing temperature, the sign of both $R_{\rm H}$ and *S* switches from negative to positive around the same temperature $T_{\rm p} = 60$ K, where ρ_{xx} exhibits a peak and begins to decrease. With further increasing temperature, yet another turn in ρ_{xx} becomes evident, where ρ_{xx} starts to increase again above approximately 200 K. The peak behavior at $T_{\rm p}$ in ρ_{xx} was considered as an 'anomaly' [54], whose origin has been under debate [55–60].

As shown in figures 3(a) and (b), $\rho_{yx}(B)$ possess remarkable temperature and field dependence. At 5 K, the negative slope of the low-field $\rho_{yx}(B)$ indicates the dominance of electrons, consistent with the negative *S* in figure 1(*c*). The nonlinearity of $\rho_{yx}(B)$, i.e., slope changes at higher *B*, indicates that additional carriers may contribute to transport. Upon warming up towards T_{p} , a similar field dependence is maintained. The increasing magnitude of $\rho_{yx}(B)$, up to 50 K, suggests the decrease of carrier concentrations, corroborating the increasing magnitude of *S*. When passing through T_{p} , the high-field $\rho_{yx}(B)$ drops dramatically in magnitude from 50 to 80 K, whose sign changes after 90 K. In the low-field region, a positive slope emerges at 70 K, signaling the sign change of the dominant carriers during the transition through T_{p} (also consistent with the sign change of *S*). Above 100 K, the slope of $\rho_{yx}(B)$ drastically decreases and the nonlinearity fades out, restoring a nearly perfect linear $\rho_{yx}(B)$ at 300 K.

In order to decipher the complex temperature and field dependence of $\rho_{yx}(B)$, the Hall conductance $\sigma_{xy} [\equiv \rho_{yx} / (\rho_{xx} \rho_{yy} + \rho_{yx}^2)]$ is numerically fitted using a simplified two-band model,

$$\sigma_{xy} = \frac{n_1 e \mu_1^2 B}{1 + \mu_1^2 B^2} + \frac{n_2 e \mu_2^2 B}{1 + \mu_2^2 B^2},\tag{1}$$

where $n_1(n_2)$ and $\mu_1(\mu_2)$ are the carrier concentration (negative (positive) for electrons (holes)) and averaged inplane mobility ($\mu_{ac} = \sqrt{\mu_a \mu_c}$) for the first (second) band with high (low) mobility. The magneto-resistivity $\rho_{xx}(B)$ has been previously measured in [9]. The in-plane anisotropy $b = \rho_{yy}/\rho_{xx} \sim \mu_a/\mu_c \sim m_c/m_a$ is taken to be a constant of 2, as experimentally determined in an L-shaped ZrTe₅ nano-device [36]. The model fits very well the experimental data, in all the measured temperature and magnetic field range (figure 3(c), regardless of the choice of *b*, see supplementary figures 1 and 2). The temperature dependence of the fitting parameters is plotted in figure 3(d). As schematically interpreted below in figure 4, the topology change in the Fermi pockets, due to



Figure 3. Multiple-carrier transport in bulk ZrTe₅. The field dependence of Hall resistivity $\rho_{yx}(B)$ at (a) 5–100 K and (b) 100–300 K, respectively. (c) The calculated Hall conductivity σ_{xy} (symbols) along with the two-band model fitting results (lines), showing a good agreement over all the measured temperature and magnetic field range. (d) The temperature dependence of the fitting parameters, namely, carrier concentration n_1 (n_2) and mobility μ_1 (μ_2), confirming contributions from the highly-mobile Dirac band and the less-mobile secondary band in transport processes. For better visibility, the data of n_1 (n_2) below 100 K (open symbols within the dotted ellipse, connected by dotted lines) are multiplied by a factor of 100. T_1 (T_2) identifies the temperature where the carrier changes type, i.e., from electrons to holes upon increasing temperature, in the Dirac (secondary) band.

the downward shifting of the chemical potential $\mu(T)$ [recall $\varepsilon_F \equiv \mu(T = 0 \text{ K})$], is a signature of the Lifshitz transition [61].

At the lowest temperatures, μ_1 takes extremely high values exceeding 3×10^5 cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹, consistent with expectations for a Dirac band. The linearly dispersed Dirac band is well documented by transport [9, 30, 31, 33, 37], ARPES [9, 48–52] and optical [10, 31, 53] studies. A secondary, less mobile (although μ_2 still achieves high values of 8×10^4 cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹) electron band is also at work at 5 K, which is likely originated from the off-zone-center electron pockets such as those observed in recent ARPES studies [49, 51]. The dominance of the chiral Dirac electrons over the trivial secondary electrons is evidenced by the observation of strong negative magnetoresistance at the low temperatures in electric field parallel to magnetic field due to CME [9]. Upon increasing temperature towards T_p , both $\mu_1(\mu_2)$ and $n_1(n_2)$ decreases in magnitude. It is consistent with a scenario where the Fermi velocity v_F of the Dirac band decreases upon increasing temperature. The temperature-induced change in v_F has also been observed in recent ARPES experiments [48, 51]. The broader band provides more states at lower energy, which effectively lowers $\mu(T)$. Thus, the increase of temperature leads to shrinking Fermi pockets at $\mu(T)$ for both the Dirac and the secondary bands.

When going through T_p , n_2 and μ_2 in the secondary band maintain the same trend as that at lower temperatures. In the meantime, n_1 in the Dirac band crosses zero, signaling the Fermi pocket changes from electron-like to hole-like via passing through the Dirac point. The mobility μ_1 in the lower Dirac band has a similarly impressive high value of almost 5×10^5 cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹ at $T_1 = 70$ K. As temperature further increases, μ_1 decreases. The continuous change of n_1 across zero (from n-type to p-type) and the discontinuity in μ_1 at T_p indicates $\mu(T)$ crossing the Dirac point. The Lifshitz transition at T_1 does not affect chiral Fermion transport. In fact, the CME was clearly observed above 70 K. From 70 to about 100 K [9], chiral Dirac holes take over. While both n_1 and μ_1 maintain an uneventful temperature dependence above T_p , n_2 crosses zero at about $T_2 = 150$ K, accompanied by a dramatic increase in μ_2 (9 × 10⁴ cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹ at 150 K), which is an n- to p-type transition at T_2 in the secondary band. The secondary hole carriers are excited from the secondary valence band, the exact location and dispersion of which are not clear. The valance band along the Γ -*X* direction observed in recent ARPES studies [49] has the binding energy (~0.6 eV) too high to provide carriers via thermal activation. Note that these ARPES studies did not observe valence states anywhere else either in *k*-space at lower binding energy.



Figure 4. Lifshitz transition in bulk ZrTe₅. (a)–(d) Evolution of the Dirac band at zone center and the secondary band, together with the Fermi-distribution function $f(\varepsilon)$ as a function of temperature. Accompany to the reduction of the Fermi velocity $v_{\rm F}$ of the chiral quasiparticles is the broadening of the Dirac band as temperature increases. This increases the available states in the lower band that effectively lowers the chemical potential $\mu(T)$. (e)–(h) Sketches of the expected band topology at $\mu(T)$. For the Dirac band, the Fermi pocket changes from electron to hole at T_1 , the rectangular shape approximately reflects the band anisotropy. The four off-centered circles at zero temperature are the electron pockets of the secondary band. At finite temperatures, in the secondary bands, there are thermally excited states (hatched areas). The dominant carriers in the secondary bands change from electrons to holes near T_2 as temperature increases. For clarity, the excitation in the Dirac band is not shown.

It appears that, largely due to its higher mobility, the secondary band gradually takes over and becomes more dominant in transport towards ambient temperatures. The dominance of the secondary band over the Dirac band at elevated temperature renders the signal of the CME conductivity too small to be detectable above 150 K, in comparison to the Ohmic conductivity [9]. The second upturn in ρ_{xx} at about 200 K is consistent with finite Fermi surfaces. As will be discussed later, the Lifshitz-type topology change in the Dirac band is the main electronic origin behind the 'puzzling' resistivity peak in ZrTe₅.

Figure 4 illustrates the Lifshitz transition in bulk ZrTe₅. The upper panel, figures (a)–(d), shows the evolution of the Dirac band and the secondary band, together with the Fermi-distribution function $f(\varepsilon)$ as a function of temperature. At zero temperature, the chemical potential $\mu(T)$ is located in the upper Dirac band which is at the zone center. Secondary bands are off-centered, where $\mu(T)$ is in the conduction band, and close to the band edge. As temperature increases, the Fermi velocity $v_{\rm F}$ in the Dirac band decreases. The behavior is consistent with the reduction of the mobility μ_1 observed here, which is further supported by the ARPES studies showing the broadening of the Dirac band as temperature increases [48, 51]. This increases the available states in the lower band that effectively moves the chemical potential $\mu(T)$ downwards. The hatched areas represent the excited states in the secondary bands. The reduction of carrier density and mobility upon increasing temperature is consistent with the observed metallic behavior of $\rho_{xx}(T)$ at temperatures below $T_{\rm p}$.

Figures 4(e)–(h) depict the expected band topology at $\mu(T)$. For the Dirac band, the Fermi pocket changes from chiral electron to chiral hole at T_1 (nominally the same as T_p) where the pocket is reduced to a Dirac point. Above T_1 , the size of the chiral hole pocket increases, this agrees with the reduction of $\rho_{xx}(T)$. For clarity, the thermal excitation in the Dirac band is not shown. The shape of the Dirac pockets is drawn to be rectangular, approximately reflecting the anisotropy of this band. We want to point out that the existence of a Dirac point is not evident from our transport studies. As such, we cannot rule out a small gap Δ_d between the upper and lower Dirac bands. Earlier calculation suggested that it is impossible to have symmetry protected Dirac points in ZrTe₅, due to its specific crystal symmetry, unlike that in Na₃Bi and Cd₃As₂. The gap will in general induce chiralitychanging transition with a rate Δ_d/\hbar . The existence of intra-valley transition does not destroy the CME, although the chirality flipping transitions do reduce the magnitude of CME current. The chirality-changing rate should only be a small fraction of the quantum scattering rate Γ_Q , which can be determined from the broadening



Figure 5. Electronic origin of the resistivity 'anomaly' in bulk ZrTe₅. Literature results of (a) electrical resistivity ρ_{xx} and (b) Seebeck coefficient *S* are compared with data from this work. The resistivity peak position T_p always seems to coincide with the temperature where the sign of *S* changes, regardless of significant differences in the background carrier concentration $n_{\rm H}$ [this work (black) versus [59] (red)]. On one hand, the Lifshitz transition can be effectively switched off, by setting the Fermi level $\varepsilon_{\rm F}$ [i.e., $\mu(T = 0 \text{ K})$] deep into the valence bands (p-type doping via Sb [59]), where the downward shift of $\mu(T)$ (upon rising temperature) does not alter the band topology anymore (always p-type pockets). Hence *S* is positive at all temperatures and the peak feature of ρ_{xx} is completely washed out (blue). On the other hand, T_p shifts towards higher temperatures upon increasing n-type doping, in the order of black, to cyan [30], magenta [33], and dark yellow [32] colors. (c) The log-log plot of T_p versus $n_{\rm H}$, where the linear fit leads to approximately $T_p \propto n_{\rm H}^{0.27}$. The power law dependence (rather close to the characteristic $n_{\rm H}^{1/3} \sim \varepsilon_{\rm F}$ for a linearly dispersed Dirac band) correlates T_p directly with $\varepsilon_{\rm F}$, suggesting a Dirac-band-dominated transport in bulk ZrTe₅ at cryogenic temperatures.

of the quasiparticle. Γ_Q sets the absolute upper limit to the chirality-changing time, and hence the Δ_d . The measurement of Γ_Q is in the progress.

The off-centered circles at zero temperature, as shown in figure 4(e), are the electron pockets of the secondary band, although we do not know their exact shape and location. At elevated temperature, the chemical potential $\mu(T)$ falls in the gap of the secondary band. Carriers are thermally excited, shown by the hatched areas, that contribute to the transport. The dominant carriers in the secondary bands change from electrons (μ closer to the conduction band edge) to holes (μ closer to the valence band edge) near T_2 as temperature increases. Above T_2 , when the secondary carriers begin to dominate the transport, the system gradually reverts back to a metallic behavior in $\rho_{xx}(T)$. This behavior was observed up to 400 K, as shown in figure 1(a) of [9].

In order to testify the validity and universality of the observed Lifshitz transition, literature results [30, 32, 33, 57, 59] on ρ_{xx} and Seebeck coefficient S are compared against our data in figures 5(a) and (b), respectively. The numerical values of $n_{\rm H}$ are tabulated in supplementary table 1. Likely originated from the temperature dependence of the lattice parameter, the broadening of the Dirac band (decreasing $v_{\rm F}$ with increasing T) should be an intrinsic property of ZrTe₅, regardless of the level of (un)intentional doping which sets the position of $\varepsilon_{\rm F}$ at 0 K. Indeed, $T_{\rm p}$ coincides with the transition temperature where S changes sign, in nominally pure ZrTe₅ compounds [59]. Intentional p-doping (by substituting Te with Sb [59]) can effectively 'switch off' the Lifshitz transition, since with $\mu(T = 0 \text{ K})$ already in the valence bands, further lowering $\mu(T)$ at finite temperatures (still would happen due to the intrinsic broadening of the Dirac band) no longer produces topology change at $\mu(T)$ (Fermi pockets always maintain hole-like). This washes out the sign changing feature in S and leads to a monotonic $\rho_{xx}(T)$ profile (blue curves). In addition, with increasing n-type doping level, T_p shifts to higher temperatures (following curves with black, cyan [30], magenta [33], and dark yellow [32] colors). The power law dependence $T_{\rm p} \propto n_{\rm H}^{0.27}$ (figure 5(c)), resembles a similarity to the characteristic $n_{\rm H}^{1/3} \sim \varepsilon_{\rm F}$, as dictated by the linear energy (ε) versus momentum (k) dispersion in a 3D Dirac band [ε (**k**) = $\hbar v_{\rm F} k$], which further implies the dominance of the Dirac band at low temperatures. Furthermore, by lowering $\varepsilon_{\rm F}$ via annealing (where excessive Te, serving as an n-type dopant in ZrTe5, is reduced), we most recently achieved an even lower $T_{\rm p} \sim 40-50$ K. We now identify that $T_{\rm p}$ monotonically increases with $\varepsilon_{\rm F}$, which can be used as a powerful experimental knob to control the Lifshitz transition, a feature rarely accessible in other Lifshitz systems [62, 63].

4. Conclusion

We report detailed transport measurements of single crystal ZrTe₅. The data are analyzed using the two-band model. The derived carrier concentration is in the order of 10^{16} cm⁻³. The mobility in the Dirac band is extremely high with a value exceeding 3×10^5 cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹. A temperature-induced Lifshitz transition is identified in the highly mobile Dirac band that supports dissipationless chiral charge transport under $\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{B} \neq 0$. As temperature increases, the chemical potential moves from the upper Dirac band to the lower one. A secondary band hosting less-mobile carriers is found to contribute to the transport properties as well. At temperatures, the chemical potential drops into the secondary band gap. The contribution to transport from the secondary bands are from the thermally excited carriers, changing from the dominant n-type to the p-type. The Lifshitz transition in the Dirac band is believed to be origin of the resistivity 'anomaly' in ZrTe₅. The 'anomaly' peak temperature T_p is controlled by the Fermi level ε_F (T_p monotonically increases with ε_F), that is an effective way to fine tune the transport properties of transition metal pentatellurides.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Basic Energy Science, Materials Sciences and Engineering Division, under Contract No. DE-SC00112704.

References

- [1] Wang Z, Sun Y, Chen X-Q, Franchini C, Xu G, Weng H, Dai X and Fang Z 2012 Phys. Rev. B 85 195320
- [2] Liu Z K et al 2014 Science 343 864-7
- [3] Xu S-Y et al 2015 Science **347** 294–8
- [4] Wang Z, Weng H, Wu Q, Dai X and Fang Z 2013 Phys. Rev. B 88 125427
- [5] Borisenko S, Gibson Q, Evtushinsky D, Zabolotnyy V, Büchner B and Cava R J 2014 Phys. Rev. Lett. 113 027603
- [6] Neupane M et al 2014 Nat. Commun. 5 3786
- [7] Liu Z K et al 2014 Nat. Mater. 13 677–81
- [8] Weng H, Dai X and Fang Z 2014 Phys. Rev. X 4 011002
- [9] Li Q et al 2016 Nat. Phys. 12 550–4
- [10] Chen R Y, Chen Z G, Song X Y, Schneeloch J A, Gu G D, Wang F and Wang N L 2015 Phys. Rev. Lett. 115 176404
- [11] Zhou Y et al 2016 Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 113 2904–9
- [12] Weng H, Fang C, Fang Z, Bernevig B A and Dai X 2015 Phys. Rev. X 5 011029
- [13] Xu S-Y et al 2015 Science 349 613-7
- [14] Lv B Q et al 2015 Phys. Rev. X 5 031013
- [15] Lv B Q et al 2015 Nat. Phys. 11 724–7
- [16] Xu S-Y et al 2015 Nat. Phys. 11 748–54
- [17] Adler S L 1969 Phys. Rev. 177 2426–38
- [18] Bell J S and Jackiw R 1969 Il Nuovo Cimento A 60 47–61
- [19] Nielsen H B and Ninomiya M 1983 Phys. Lett. B 130 389-96
- [20] Son D T and Spivak B Z 2013 Phys. Rev. B 88 104412
- [21] Burkov A A 2014 Phys. Rev. Lett. 113 247203
- [22] Kharzeev D E 2015 Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 65 193-214
- [23] Goswami P, Pixley J H and Das Sarma S 2015 Phys. Rev. B 92 075205
- [24] Xiong J, Kushwaha S K, Liang T, Krizan J W, Hirschberger M, Wang W, Cava R J and Ong N P 2015 Science 350 413-6
- [25] Li C-Z, Wang L-X, Liu H, Wang J, Liao Z-M and Yu D-P 2015 Nat. Commun. 6 10137
- [26] Waldrop M M 2016 *Nature* **530** 144–7
- [27] Zhu Z and Hoffman J E 2014 Nature 513 319–20
- [28] Kharzeev D E, Pisarski R D and Yee H-U 2015 Phys. Rev. Lett. 115 236402
- [29] Kharzeev D E and Yee H-U 2013 Phys. Rev. B 88 115119
- [30] Zheng G et al 2016 Phys. Rev. B 93 115414
- [31] Yuan X et al 2016 NPG Asia Mater. 8 e325
- [32] Niu J et al 2015 arXiv:1511.09315
- [33] Yu W, Jiang Y, Yang J, Dun Z L, Zhou H D, Jiang Z, Lu P and Pan W 2016 arXiv:1602.06824
- [34] Pariari A and Mandal P 2016 arXiv:1603.05175
- [35] Zheng G et al 2016 arXiv:1607.05384
- [36] Qiu G et al 2016 Nano Lett. 16 7364-8
- [37] Liu Y et al 2016 Nat. Commun. 7 12516
- [38] Wang H et al 2016 Phys. Rev. B 93 165127
- [39] Zhao L X et al 2015 arXiv:1512.07360
- [40] QiY et al 2016 Phys. Rev. B 94 054517
- [41] Liu Y et al 2016 arXiv:1603.00514
- [42] Huang X et al 2015 Phys. Rev. X 5 031023
- [43] Yang X, Li Y, Wang Z, Zhen Y and Xu Z-A 2015 arXiv:1506.02283
- [44] Wang Z et al 2016 Phys. Rev. B 93 121112
- [45] Arnold F et al 2016 Nat. Commun. 7 11615

- [46] Zhao Y et al 2015 Phys. Rev. X 5 031037
- [47] Wehling T O, Black-Schaffer A M and Balatsky A V 2014 Adv. Phys. 63 1–76
- [48] Manzoni G et al 2015 Phys. Rev. Lett. 115 207402
- [49] Wu R et al 2016 Phys. Rev. X 6 021017
- [50] Moreschini L, Johannsen J C, Berger H, Denlinger J, Jozwiak C, Rotenberg E, Kim K S, Bostwick A and Grioni M 2016 Phys. Rev. B 94 081101
- [51] Zhang Y *et al* 2016 arXiv:1602.03576
- [52] Manzoni G et al 2016 Phys. Rev. Lett. 117 237601
- [53] Chen R Y, Zhang S J, Schneeloch J A, Zhang C, Li Q, Gu G D and Wang N L 2015 Phys. Rev. B 92 075107
- [54] Okada S, Sambongi T and Ido M 1980 J. Phys. Soc. Japan 49 839–40
- [55] DiSalvo F J, Fleming R M and Waszczak J V 1981 Phys. Rev. B 24 2935–9
- [56] Okada S, Sambongi T, Ido M, Tazuke Y, Aoki R and Fujita O 1982 J. Phys. Soc. Japan 51 460–7
- [57] Izumi M, Nakayama T, Uchinokura K, Harada S, Yoshizaki R and Matsuura E 1987 J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys. 20 3691–705
- [58] Rubinstein M 1999 Phys. Rev. B 60 1627-32
- [59] McGuire K, Lowhorn N D, Tritt T M and Rao A M 2002 J. Appl. Phys. 92 2524–7
- [60] McIlroy D N, Moore S, Daqing Z, Wharton J, Kempton B, Littleton R, Wilson M, Tritt T M and Olson C G 2004 J. Phys. Condens. Matter 16 L359–65
- [61] Lifshitz I M 1960 Sov. Phys. JETP 11 1130-5
- [62] Liu C et al 2010 Nat. Phys. 6 419–23
- [63] Pletikosić I, Gu G D and Valla T 2014 Phys. Rev. Lett. 112 146403